

Letters in Animal Biology

Journal homepage: www.liabjournal.com

Effect of feeding on different levels of crushed cactus in total mixed rations on fattening performance, carcass characteristics, and meat quality of Awassi sheep

Abubakr S. Ali 1*, Muzzamil Atta 2,3, Mutasim B. Mohamed 1, Merriem Fatnassi 1, Huda M. Al-

Abstract

Dosari¹, Hamad S. Al-Shamari¹

1. Agricultural Research Department, Ministry of Municipality, Doha, Qatar

2. Department of Animal Resources, Ministry of Municipality, Doha, Qatar

3. College of Animal Production, Bahry University, Khartoum, Sudan

Article info Received: 28 December 2022 Received in revised form: 17 February 2023 Accepted: 18 February 2023 Published online: 24 February 2023

Keyword

| Meat quality |
|--|
| Carcass characteristics |
| Fattening performance |
| Sheep |
| Cactaceae |
| e de la companya de la compa |

* Corresponding author:

Abubakr S. Ali

Email: abmustafa@mm.gov.qa

Reviewed by:

Ramzy A. Yousif Department of Fisheries and Wildlife Science, University of Science and Technology, Khartoum, Sudan

Adam Abdallah Department of Meat Production, College of Animal Production, Khartoum North Sudan

A ten week feeding experiment was conducted to evaluate the effect of feeding total mixed rations containing different levels of cactus on growth performance, carcass characteristics, and meat quality of Awassi sheep. Twelve lambs with initial body weight of 28.67±2.29 kg and 120 days average age were distributed in complete randomized design into three dietary groups according to crushed cactus level ($T_1 = 0\%$, $T_2 = 10\%$, and $T_3 = 15\%$) in four replicates. At the end of the feeding period three lambs from each dietary group were randomly slaughtered to determine the carcass characteristics and meat quality. The means of the tested parameters were tested for significance using analysis of variance followed by least significant differences at 95% confidence level. Lambs fed T₃ diet showed numerically higher (P > 0.05) total weight gain (15.3 kg) and average daily gain (219.1 g). T_2 group had numerically higher (P > 0.05) hind quarter perimeter (D), maximum width carcass (Wr), chest depth (Th), and pelvic limb length (F) than T₁ and T₃. Left half carcass weight (10.5 kg), anterior rib (8.3%), pelvic limb (36.3%), and first quality (66.4%) were numerically higher (P > 0.05) in T₂. Results of meat quality presented numerically higher (P > 0.05) water holding capacity (23.7) and lower cooking loss percent (30.6%) in T₃, these results were reflected on sensory evaluation as well where T₃ recorded significantly higher ($P \le 0.05$) scores in texture and flavor of meat. Therefore, adding crushed cactus up to 15% level in diet of sheep could affect the carcass characteristics and meat quality differently.

This is an open access article under the CC Attribution-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Intensive system is the most practiced in rearing livestock especially small ruminants (sheep and goat) in dry and semidry areas such as some countries in Middle East, Africa, and South America. Production and availability of forage and roughages in such areas is affected by the length of dry season, resulting in lower performance of animals. Hence the challenge is feeding animals with diets good in nutritional values and prevent energy loss which is attributed to grazing and search for pastures (Neto et al 2016). Small ruminants are the most reared livestock in Qatar and counts 85.5% of the total population of livestock (1,476,363 heads). Sheep represents 62.2% and Awassi sheep breed estimated to be 57% of total sheep number is well adapted to harsh climatic condition of the country (QAC/ER 2021). Most sheep flocks are bred under traditional fanciers' level and closed zero grazing system is the only practiced livestock management system (Al-Marri and Atta 2014; Ahmed et al. 2021). Sheep contribute 67% of the total production of red meat locally and thus its demand is high which drives more production. Qatari consumers preferred lamb meat at light weight (25-40 kg) and younger than 180 days age. Quality attributes for lamb meat at such age and characterization are not well studied. Carcass conformation and meat quality of lambs are influenced by feeding system (Priolo et al. 2002; Carrasco et al. 2009).

Cactus plant belongs to cactaceae family which is widely spread in arid and semiarid zones of the world (Ali et al. 2005).

It is successfully grown in semi-arid regions of the world and considered as important animal feed contributing to food security and reducing hunger and poverty. Numerous species of cactus spread in different semiarid regions of the world and most of them used as livestock feed include Opuntia ficusindica Mill, O. lindheimeri Engelm, O. ellisiana, O. engelmannii Salm Dyck, O. chrysacantha Berg, O. amyclae, O. rastrera Weber, O. stricta Haw, and Nopalea cochenillifera Salm Dyck (Dubeux et al. 2021). There are different factors which determine the nutritive value of cactus viz. season, age, variety, harvesting, and ecology (Dubeux et al. 2010; Alves et al. 2016; Souza et al. 2017; Pessoa et al. 2020). In dry season it regarded as an important source of energy and water for animals, particularly ruminants (Costa et al. 2009). However, its use is limited by drastic variation in chemical composition, such as dry matter (3.7 - 94%), crude protein (3.3 - 11.6%), crude fiber (8.5 - 34%), and in vitro digestibility (66.7 - 90%). These drastic variations may result in non-pathological diarrhoea, bloat, and weight loss when cactus is used as the only source of fiber in small ruminant feeding (Tegegne et al. 2007; Maciel et al. 2018; Silva et al. 2021). Therefore, it is used in combination with different types of feedstuffs to reduce these unwanted outcomes, such as Tifton 85 hay (Vieira et al. 2008), soybean hulls (Souza et al. 2009), whole cotton seed (Costa et al. 2012), sugarcane bagasse (Pessoa et al. 2013), Manicoba hay and silage (Maciel et al. 2018), and wheat bran as energy concentrate (Silva et al. 2021). Considering optimizing dietary energy level and endorsing ruminal health, this study was conducted with the objective to evaluate the effects of adding different levels of crushed cactus on the fattening performance, carcass characteristics, and meat quality of confined sheep.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental site

The study was carried out during April – July 2022, at Animal Production Research Station of Al Shahaniya Municipality. The station is located in the centre of Qatar state ($25^{\circ}21'$ N and $51^{\circ}14'$ E) about 25 km west of the capital Doha. The temperature ranged from 34 to 42 °C and dew point of 65-86 during the experimental period (www.weatherspark.com).

2.2 Animal care

The study was approved by the Ethics of Animal Resources Department/Ministry of Municipality/State of Qatar in compliance with standard ethical norms considering the prescribed use of animals for experimental and scientific purposes.

2.3 Experimental animals, diets, and management

Sixteen non-castrated, vaccinated, dewormed, and ectoparasite treated male Awassi lambs from the station farm flock were used with an average weight of 28.67 ± 2.29 kg and average age of 120 days. The experimental period was of 84 days, in which the first fourteen days period was proposed as an adaptation to

the new diet and the remaining 70 days were for data collection. The animals were weighed before allocation to individual confined cages measuring 1.9×1.5 m² and equipped with feeder and water source. Daily cleaning of cages, feeders, and drinkers was done with daily removal feed residues for adjusting the feed intake of animals.

Complete randomized design with four treatments and four replicates was used. Four diets was formulated by adding different levels of crushed cactus to total mixed ration ($T_1 = 0\%$, $T_2 = 10\%$, $T_3 = 15\%$, and $T_4 = 20\%$) during the adaptation period (14 days). However, the sheep in T₄ group developed diarrhea and hence the research team decided to cancel the 4th treatment group. Thus, three treatment diets ($T_1 = 0\%$, $T_2 = 10\%$, and $T_3 = 15\%$) were continued up to the end of feeding trail. The basal diet contained molasses, rhodes hay, soybean cake, wheat bran, crushed barely grains, limestone, and salt as shown in Table 1. 0.5 kg of dried alfalfa were provided weekly for each lamb. Crushed cactus used as roughage source in this study was collected from Rawdat Al Faras Research Station,

| Table 1 Inclusion rate ofexperimental diet | f different | ingredients | in the |
|--|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| Ingredients (%) | T_1 | T_2 | T ₃ |
| Molasses | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Cactus | 0 | 10 | 20 |
| Rhodes hay | 30 | 20 | 10 |
| Soybean meal | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Wheat bran | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Barely grains | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Limestone | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Salt | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Dry matter (%) | 70.33 | 76.25 | 79.21 |
| Crude protein (%) | 17.57 | 17.88 | 18.04 |
| Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg) | 7.90 | 8.32 | 8.53 |
| Calcium (%) | 0.57 | 0.65 | 0.68 |
| Phosphorus (%) | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.51 |

Department of Agricultural Research. It was cultivated, harvested, sun-dried on metallic shelves, crushed, and subjected to proximate analysis (AOAC 2000).

2.4 Feedlot performance, slaughter method, and carcass evaluation

Live body weight of each lamb was recorded at the beginning of the experiment and every week throughout the experimental period at 7:00 AM before offering feed. Daily feed intake was determined by subtracting the residual feed offered. Average daily gain (g/day) was obtained by subtracting initial live body weight from final live weight followed by division by number of days fed. Feed conversion rate was calculated by dividing the total feed intake (kg) by the weight gain (kg) throughout the experiment.

At the end of the experimental period nine randomly selected lambs were transported to Al-Shahaniya Central Slaughterhouse eleven kilometers away from the Research station after 16 hours of fasting with free access to drinking water. The lambs were slaughtered following Islamic Method according to Ministry of Municipality/Animal Resources Department/Quarantine Section Regulations and slaughter body weight (SBW) was recorded.

Head, four feet, skin, viscera, pelvic and abdominal organs, and fat (pelvic, kidney, scrotal, and gut) were removed to determine the hot carcass weight (HCW). Hot carcass yield (HCY) was calculated as follows:

$$(HCY = HCW/SBW \times 100).$$

The full and empty gastrointestinal tract weights were determined to calculate the empty body weight (EBW) by subtracting the GIT content from slaughter body weight and estimating true carcass yield (TCY) as follows:

TCY (%) = HCW/EBW \times 100

2.5 Carcass dissections

Carcasses were hanged by gastrocnemius muscle tendon on the hooks at 4 °C for 24 hours and then weighed to estimate the cold carcass weight (CCW) and chilling loss (CL).

 $CL(\%) = (HCW - CCW)/HCW \times 100$

Cold carcass yield (CCY) was determined as follows:

$$CCY (\%) = CCW/SBW \times 100$$

The following morphological measurements of the carcasses were taken as well using metric tape: hind quarter perimeter (D), hind quarter wide (G), maximum width carcass (Wr.), pelvic limb length (F), half carcass length (L), chest depth (Th) (Colomer-Rocher et al. 1988). The carcass compactness (CCW/L), pelvic limb compactness (pelvic limb weight/F), G/ carcass internal length index (G/L), G/pelvic limb length index (G/F), and chest roundness index (Wr./Th) were calculated based on the above morphological measurements. After removing the tail, the carcasses were split down longitudinally into two halves. The left half was weighed and dissected into commercial cuts following Colomer-Rocher et al. (1998) viz. thoracic limb, breast, pelvic limb, neck, anterior-rib, and loinrib. Each cut was weighed as expressed as percentage of left half weight. The cuts were grouped into three categories viz. first category composed of anterior-rib, pelvic limb, and loinrib; second category composed of thoracic limb; and third category composed of neck and breast.

2.6 Meat sample preparation and quality determination

Chilled longissimus dorsi (LD) muscle (4 °C for 24 hours) from right and left sides of the carcasses starting from 5th rib to 1st lumbar vertebrate were taken for determination of cooking

loss (CL), water holding capacity (WHC), and sensory evaluation. All analysis was conducted in laboratory unit of the Al Shahaniya Animal Production Research Station.

2.6.1 Cooking loss estimation

Steaks of meat from adjacent positions of 2.5 cm length from chilled LD muscle were precisely weighed before cooking (W1). The samples were immersed in water bath (Model 2301, Fisher Scientific, Marietta, USA) at 80 °C for 90 minutes in thin-walled polyethylene bags and subsequently removed from water bath and instantly cooled under running tap water at 25 °C for 20 minutes. The samples were removed from the bags and wiped using filter paper and weighed again (W2) to determine the cooking loss percentage as follows (Honikel 1998):

Cooking loss (%) = $(W1 - W2)/W1 \times 100$

2.6.2 Water holding capacity determination

Water holding capacity was determined using method described by Jauregui et al. (1981) with minor modifications in rotor speed of 10000 rpm for 40 minutes (LYNX 4000 centrifuge, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Osterode Am Harz, Germany).

2.6.3 Sensory evaluation

Meat samples from chilled LD muscle were cut with dimensions $2.5 \times 2.5 \times 3$ cm and cooked with no seasoning agents in an electric commercial stainless-steel grilling oven (Model 1K-120RCL Ikon, China) until temperature 80 °C was achieved at the core of samples. Based on an 8-point hedonic scale, with 8 being extremely desirable and 1 being extremely undesirable, fifteen semi-trained panelists (8 men and 7 women, 25 to 45 years) participated to evaluate four quality attributes *viz.* colour, tenderness, juiciness, and flavor of cooked meat (Cross et al. 1978).

2.7 Statistical analysis

The means of the tested growth performance, carcass, and meat quality traits were tested for significance using analysis of variance of IBM SPSS version 25 followed by least significant differences at 95% confidence level for separation of means.

3. Results

3.1 Fattening performance and carcass conformation traits

The results of total feedlot performance of Awassi lambs fed different levels of dried cactus are presented in Table 2. It revealed no significant effect of cactus level on the studied performance and carcass traits (P > 0.05), however lambs having in T₃ group recorded numerically higher total weight gain and average daily weight gain (15.3 kg and 219.1 g), and chilling loss percentage (3.1). Although T₃ and T₂ lamb group recorded higher (P < 0.05) average daily intake (1195.9 g), and total feed intake (83.7 kg); the hot carcass weight (20.7 kg), cold carcass weight (20.2 kg), total carcass yield (53.2 kg), hot

Table 2 Gross performance and carcass traits of fattening lambs using different levels of cactus

| Parameters | T_1 | T ₂ | T ₃ | SEM | P value |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|-------|---------|
| Initial body weight (kg) | 28.3 | 30.3 | 27.3 | 0.76 | 0.2963 |
| Slaughter body weight (kg) | 43.5 | 44.5 | 42.7 | 0.82 | 0.7173 |
| Body weight gain (kg) | 15.2 | 14.2 | 15.3 | 1.16 | 0.9276 |
| Average daily weight gain (g) | 216.7 | 202.4 | 219.1 | 16.57 | 0.9334 |
| Total feed intake (kg) | 77.1 ^b | 81.1ª | 83.7ª | 1.06 | 0.0174 |
| Daily feed intake (g) | 1101.7 ^b | 1158.5ª | 1195.9ª | 15.15 | 0.0174 |
| Feed conversion ratio | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 0.38 | 0.9334 |
| Empty body weight (kg) | 38.9 | 39.8 | 37.4 | 0.61 | 0.3026 |
| Hot carcass weight (kg) | 20.7 | 20.4 | 19.7 | 0.39 | 0.4256 |
| Cold carcass weight (kg) | 20.2 | 19.8 | 19.1 | 0.31 | 0.3171 |
| TCY (%) | 53.2 | 51.2 | 52.6 | 0.51 | 0.3140 |
| HCY (%) | 47.6 | 45.8 | 46.2 | 0.61 | 0.5206 |
| CCY (%) | 46.6 | 44.5 | 44.8 | 0.60 | 0.3613 |
| Chilling loss (%) | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 0.28 | 0.5031 |

 T_1 = Total mix ration with 0% crushed cactus, T_2 = Total mix ration with 10% crushed cactus, T_3 = Total mix ration with 15% crushed cactus, TCY = True carcass yield based on empty body weight, HCY = Hot carcass yield based on slaughter body weight, CCY = Cold carcass yield based on slaughter body weight,

SEM= Standard error of mean

Means bearing same superscript letters do not differ significantly

carcass yield (46.6 kg), and cold carcass yield (47.6 kg) were numerically higher (P > 0.05) in T_1 lambs group.

3.2 Carcass dissections measurements

As illustrated in Table 3, none of the zoometric carcass measurements were affected (P > 0.05) by different cactus

levels. However, Hind quarter wide (G), maximum width carcass (Wr), chest depth (Th), and pelvic limb weight/F were numerically higher in lambs of group T_2 followed by T_1 group except for hind quarter perimeter (D) where it was followed by T_3 group (60.5 cm). Similarly, T_1 group showed numerically higher values for pelvic limb length (F), carcass internal length

| | Ŭ | | | | |
|---|-------|----------------|----------------|------|---------|
| Parameters | T_1 | T ₂ | T ₃ | SEM | P value |
| Hind quarter perimeter, D (cm) | 59.8 | 60.8 | 60.5 | 0.28 | 0.3196 |
| Hind quarter wide, G (cm) | 19.8 | 19.5 | 20.0 | 0.22 | 0.7118 |
| Maximum width carcass, Wr (cm) | 20.6 | 21.2 | 20.3 | 0.27 | 0.4610 |
| Pelvic limb length, F (cm) | 37.5 | 37.1 | 37.3 | 0.12 | 0.4092 |
| Half carcass internal length, L (cm) | 61.6 | 60.8 | 60.3 | 0.60 | 0.7255 |
| Chest depth, Th (cm) | 28.4 | 29.5 | 28.3 | 0.42 | 0.4766 |
| Chest roundness index, Wr/Th | 0.73 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.02 | 0.9716 |
| Pelvic limb compactness, Pelvic limb/F (g/cm) | 78.7 | 88.0 | 78.5 | 1.97 | 0.0524 |
| G/F | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.01 | 0.7268 |
| Carcass compactness, CCW/L (g/cm) | 325.9 | 320.3 | 316.3 | 4.21 | 0.7050 |
| G/L | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.01 | 0.7244 |

 T_1 = Total mix ration with 0% crushed cactus, T_2 = Total mix ration with 10% crushed cactus, T_3 = Total mix ration with 15% crushed cactus, SEM= Standard error of mean

Table 4 Commercial cuts from left side carcasses of fattening lambs fed different levels of cactus

| Parameters | T1 | T ₂ | T ₃ | SEM | P value |
|--------------------------|-------|----------------|----------------|------|---------|
| Left carcass weight (kg) | 10.3 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 0.15 | 0.6102 |
| Anterior rib (%) | 7.1 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 0.38 | 0.4667 |
| Pelvic limb (%) | 33.6 | 36.3 | 34.3 | 0.79 | 0.3691 |
| Loin rib (%) | 22.1 | 21.8 | 21.0 | 1.01 | 0.9237 |
| Thoracic limb (%) | 19.67 | 17.86 | 19.71 | 0.46 | 0.1725 |
| Neck (%) | 6.7 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 0.42 | 0.6918 |
| Breast (%) | 10.9 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 0.56 | 0.3274 |
| Commercial meat cuts | | | | | |
| First quality (%) | 62.7 | 66.4 | 63.2 | 0.97 | 0.2639 |
| Second quality (%) | 19.67 | 17.86 | 19.71 | 0.78 | 0.6574 |
| Third quality (%) | 17.6 | 15.7 | 17.1 | 0.46 | 0.1725 |

SEM= standard error of mean

First quality cuts (anterior-rib, pelvic limb, and loin-rib), Second quality cuts (thoracic limb), Third quality cuts (neck and breast)

index (L), chest roundness index (Wr/Th), and carcass compactness (CCW/L).

Cactus levels had no significant effect (P > 0.05) on commercial carcass cuts (Table 4). However, lambs in T₂ group scored numerically higher weight (10.5 kg) and percentage cuts of left half carcass *viz*. anterior rib (8.3%), pelvic limb (36.3%), and first quality (66.4%) carcass cuts. T₁ lamb group revealed numerically higher percentage of breast (10.9%), second (19.67%), and third (17.6%) quality commercial cuts.

3.3 Meat quality traits

Water holding capacity (WHC) and cooking loss (CL) percent were not influenced by the level of cactus feeding in total mixed ration of fattening lambs (Table 5). However, T₃ lamb group had numerically higher WHC but lower CL value followed by T₂ lamb group. The results of sensory evaluation of meat from fattening lambs fed total mixed ration with different levels of cactus are presented in Table 6. Lamb in group T₃ showed the higher score (P < 0.05) in texture (7.3) and flavor (7.5) compared to T₁ and T₂ lamb groups which did not differ significantly from each other. No significant effect was observed on the colour and juiciness score of lamb meat. Several factors could affect growth performance of fattening animals such as nutritional level, length of fattening period, animal's age, and environmental conditions. The numerically higher total and daily weight gain in T₃ diet group might be attributed to increase in feed utilization and breakdown rate of the digesta by microorganisms in rumen (Van Soest 1994; and Atsbha et al. 2021). However, ADG observed in this study (216.7 - 219.1 g/day) were lower than that reported by Suliman et al (2021) (310 g/day) but higher than that of Shaker et al (2002) (207 g/day), and Jawasreh et al (2019) (180 g/day) for the same breed. Slaughter weight (42.7 - 44.5 kg), hot carcass weight (19.7 - 20.7 kg), and cold carcass weight (19.1 kg)- 20.1 kg) varied considerably, whereas, cold carcass yield (44.5 - 46.6 %) and chilling loss (2.2 - 3.1 %) were corroborated by earlier studies (Greer and Jones 1997; Carrasco et al. 2009; Suliman et al. 2021; Silva et al. 2021; Atsbha et al. 2021).

Ramírez-Retamal et al (2013) found that carcasses with smaller D values but more F value showed the highest compactness which is in line with the results of earlier studies (Carrasco et al. 2009; Diaz et al. 2002). Most of the studies have reported that the first quality of commercial meat cuts represents more than 60 % of the carcass weight (Caneque et

4. Discussion

| ' | Table 5 Water holding capacity and cooking loss of mutton from fattening lambs fed different levels of cactus |
|---|---|
|---|---|

| Parameters | T1 | T ₂ | Τ3 | SEM | P value |
|------------------------|------|----------------|------|------|---------|
| Water holding capacity | 22.2 | 23.4 | 23.7 | 1.83 | 0.9451 |
| Cooking loss % | 36.9 | 32.5 | 30.6 | 2.18 | 0.5132 |

 T_1 = Total mix ration with 0% crushed cactus, T_2 = Total mix ration with 10% crushed cactus, T_3 = Total mix ration with 15% crushed cactus, SEM= Standard error of mean

| Table 6 Sensory evaluation | le 6 Sensory evaluation mutton of fattening lambs fed with different levels of cactus | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------------|------|-------|---------|--|
| Parameters | T_1 | T2 | T3 | SEM | P value | |
| Colour | 7.4 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 0.101 | 0.2556 | |
| Texture | 6.4 ^b | 6.7ª | 7.3ª | 0.146 | 0.0458 | |
| Flavor | 6.4 ^b | 6.6 ^b | 7.5ª | 0.155 | 0.0081 | |
| Juiciness | 6.5 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 0.139 | 0.1168 | |
| | | | | | | |

SEM= standard error of mean

First quality cuts (anterior-rib, pelvic limb, and loin-rib), Second quality cuts (thoracic limb), Third quality cuts (neck and breast)

al. 2004; Diaz et al. 2006; Carrasco et al. 2009) which is line with the results of present study.

The inverse relationship between WHC and CL observed in this study was supported by earlier studies as well (Rubiano et al. 2009; Venturini et al. 2020). However, the CL values observed in this study were lower than those reported by Rubiano et al (2009). Sensory attributes of meat is a generally accepted helpful tool for evaluation of meat quality. It has been reported that the reduction in WHC of meat could affect its sensory attributes mainly texture and flavor by releasing water and other nutritional components (Zeola et al. 2007). However, on the 8-point hedonic scale Suliman et al (2021) reported the sensory attributes of Awassi sheep lower than that observed in the present study.

5. Conclusions

It was concluded that using crushed cactus up to the level of 20% in diet of sheep has not adverse effects on the fattening performance. However, slight positive effects can be observed on the meat quality. Therefore, crushed cactus along with Rhodes hay could be an economical alternative forage resource for feeding sheep in arid and semi-arid areas such as Qatar state.

Declarations

Funding: Not available

Conflict of interest: The author declares no conflict of interest could influence the work reported in this paper

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Ethics of Animal Resources Department/Ministry of Municipality/State of Qatar in compliance with standard ethical norms considering the prescribed use of animals for experimental and scientific purposes.

Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge Mitsui & Co. Ltd. for providing the financial grant.

References

Ahmed FA, Atta M, Ibrahim MT, Al-Zayara, AA, Al-Hosani AI. (2021). Highlights on potentials of small ruminants' traditional raising system in Qatar. Bioscience Research 18(2): 1657-1666.

Ali SM, Hamza MA, Amin G, Fayez M, El-Tahan M, Monib M,

Hegazi, NA. (2005). Production of biofertilizers using baker's yeast effluent and their application to wheat and barley grown in north Sinai deserts. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 51(6): 589-604.

- Al-Marri SJ, Atta M. (2014). SWOT analysis for small ruminants' production in the State of Qatar. The second regular meeting of officials and experts of research and technology transfer in the field of animal production under the title of "improving the breeds of small ruminants in the Arab World" in Khartoum on May 27 to 29/2014.
- Alves FAL, Andrade AP, Bruno RLA, Silva MGV, Souza MFV, Pessoa C, Oliveira FCE, Brito FSG, Santos DC. (2016). Genetic diversity and seasonal chemical profile by H NMR and cytotoxic activity in Opuntia and Nopalea genres. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research 10: 732–747.
- AOAC (2000). Official Methods of Analysis. 12th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC. 1094 pp.
- Atsbha K, Gebremariam T, Aregawi T. (2021). Slaughter performance and meat quality of Begait breed lambs fattened under different diets. Heliyon 7: 1-8.
- Cañeque V, Pérez C, Velasco S, Díaz MT, Lauzurica S, Álvarez I, Ruiz deHuidobro F, Onega E, De la Fuente J. (2004). Carcass and meat quality of light lambs using principal component analysis. Meat Science 67: 595–605.
- Carrasco S, Ripoll G, Sanz A, Álvarez-Rodríguez J, Panea B, Revilla R, Joya M. (2009). Effect of feeding system on growth and carcass characteristics of Churra Tensina light lambs. Livestock Science 121: 56–63.
- Colomer Rocher F, Morand-Fehr P, Kirton A H, Belenguer R, Alfranca IS. (1988). Método normalizado para el estudio de los caracteres cuantitativos y cualitativos de las canales ovinas producidas en el área mediterránea según los sistemas de producción. Cuadernos INIA 17: 19-41. <u>http://hdl.handle.net/ 10532/1424</u>
- Costa RG, Filho BEM, Medeiros AN, Givisiez PEN, Egypto RDCR, Melo AAS. (2009). Effects of increasing levels of cactus pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica* L. Miller) in the diet of dairy goats and its contribution as a source of water. Small Ruminant Research 82: 62–65.
- Costa SBM, Andrade MF, Pessoa RAS, Batista ÂMV, Ramos AO, Conceição MG, Gomes LHS. (2012). Tifton hay, soybean hulls, and whole cottonseed as fiber source in spineless cactus diets for sheep. Tropical Animal Health and Production 44(8): 1993-2000.
- Cross HR, Moen R, Stanfield MS. (1978). Training and testing of judges for sensory analysis of meat quality. Food Technology 32: 48–54.

- Diaz MT, Velasco S, Cañeque V, Lauzurica S, Ruiz de Huidobro F, Pérez C, Gonzáles J, Manzanares C. (2002). Use of concentrate or pasture for fattening Lambs and its effect on carcass and meat quality. Small Ruminant Research 43: 257–268.
- Diaz MT, De la Fuente J, Perez C, Lauzurica S, Alvarez I, Ruiz de Huidobro F, Velasco S, Cañeque V. (2006). Body composition in relation to slaughter weight and gender in suckling lambs. Small Ruminant Research 64: 126–132.
- Dubeux JCB, Araújo Filho JT, Santos MVF, Lira MA, Santos DC, Pessoa RAS. (2010). Adubaçao mineral no crescimento e composiçao mineral da palma forrageira –Clone IPA-201. Revista Brasileira de Ciencias Agrarias 5: 129–135.
- Dubeux JCB, Santos MVF, Cunha MV, Santos DC, Souza RTA. Mello ACL, Souza T.C. (2021). Cactus (*Opuntia* and *Nopalea*) nutritive value: A review. Animal Feed Science and Technology 275: 114890. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2021.114890
- Greer GG. Jones SD. (1997). Quality and bacteriological consequences of beef carcass spray-chilling: effects of spray duration and boxed beef storage temperature. Meat Science 45: 61–73. https://doi: 10.1016/S0309-1740(96)00073-3
- Honikel KO. (1998). Reference methods for the assessment of physical characteristics of meat. Meat Science 49: 447–457.
- Jauregui CA, Regenstein JM, Baker RC. (1981). A simple centrifugal method for measuring expressible moisture, a water-binding property of muscle foods. Journal of Food Science 46(4): 1271-1273.
- Jawasreh K, Alamareen AH, Obeidat MD. Aad PY. (2019). Growth performance and meat characteristics of the first awassi-rambouillet callipyge backcross. Animals 9(517): 1-12.
- Ramírez-Retamal J, Morales R, Martínez ME, Barra R. (2013). Effect of breed and feeding on the carcass characteristics of the Chilote breed lamb. Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research 73(1): 48-54.
- Maciel VM, Carvalho FFR, Batista ÂMV, Souza EJO, Maciel LPAA, Júnior DML. (2018). Maniçoba hay or silage replaces Tifton 85 hay in spineless cactus diets for sheep. Acta Scientiarum Animal S c i e n c e s 41: e42553 <u>https://Doi.10.4025/actascianimsci.v4li1.42553</u>
- Neto JP, Soares PC, Batista ÂMV, Andrade SF, Andrade RP, Lucena RB, Guim A. (2016). Water balance and renal excretion of metabolites in sheep fed on forage cactus (*Nopalea cochenillifera* Salm Dyck). Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira 36: 322–328.
- Pessoa RAS, Ferreira MA, Silva FM, Bispo SV, Wanderley WL, Vasconcelos PC. (2013). Diferentes suplementos associados à palma forrageira em dietas para ovinos: consumo, digestibilidade aparente e parâmetros ruminais. Revista Brasileira de Saúde e Produção Animal 14(3): 508-517._
- Pessoa DV, Andrade AP, Magalhaes ALR, Teodoro AL, Santos DC, Araújo GGL, Medeiros AN, Nascimento DB, Valença RL, Cardoso DB. (2020). Forage cactus of the genus Opuntia in different with the phenological phase: nutritional value. Journal of Arid Environments 181: 104243. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jaridenv.2020.104243</u>
- Priolo A, Micol D, Agabiel J, Prache S, Dransfield E. (2002). Effect of grass or concentrate feeding on lamb carcass and meat quality. Meat Science 62: 179–185.
- QAC/ER (2021). Qatar Agricultural Census 2021 Executive Report. The Social and Economic Survey Research Institute (SESRI),

Qatar University, Doha, Qatar. ISBN: 9789927162008, Pp. 134.

- Rubiano GAG, Arrigoni MB, Martins CL, Rodrigues E, Gonçalves C, Angerami CN. (2009). Desempenho, características de carcaça e qualidade da carne de bovinos superprecoces das raças Canchim, Nelore e seus mestiços. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia 38(12): 2490-2498.
- Shaker MM, Abdullah AY, Kridli RT, Bláha J, Šáda I, Sovják R. (2002). Fattening performance and carcass value of Awassi ram lambs, F1 crossbreds of Romanov × Awassi and Charollais × Awassi in Jordan. Czech Journal of Animal Science 10: 429-438.
- Silva KB, Oliveira JS, Santos EM, Ramos JPF, Cartaxo QF, Givisiez PEN, Souza AFN, Cruz GFL, Neto JMC, Alves JP, Ferreira D J, Lima AGVO, Zanine AM. (2021). Cactus pear as roughage source feeding confined lambs: Performance, carcass characteristics, and economic analysis. Agronomy 11(4): 625. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ agronomy11040625</u>
- Souza EJ, Guim A, Batista AMV, Santos KL, Silva JR, Morais NAP, Mustafa AF. (2009). Effects of soybean hulls inclusion on intake, total tract nutrient utilization and ruminal fermentation of goats fed spineless cactus (*Opuntia ficus-indica* Mill) based diets. Small Ruminant Research 85(1): 63-69. <u>http://doi.10.1016/j.smallrumres.2009.07.008</u>
- Souza TC, Santos MVF, Dubeux JCB, Lira MA, Santos DC, Cunha MV, Lima LE, Silva RR. (2017). Productivity and nutrient concentration in spineless cactus under different fertilizations and plant densities. Revista Brasileira de Ciencias Agrarias 12: 555– 560.
- Suliman GM, Al-Owaimer AN, El-Waziry AM, Hussein EOS, Abuelfatah K, Swelum AA. (2021). A comparative study of sheep breeds: Fattening performance, carcass characteristics, meat chemical composition and quality attributes. Frontiers in Veterinary Science 8(2): 647192. <u>http://doi.10.3389/</u> fvets.2021.647192
- Tegegne F, Kijora C, Peters KJ. (2007). Study on the optimal level of cactus pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) supplementation to sheep and its contribution as source of water. Small Ruminant Research 72: 157–164.
- Van Soest PJ. (1994). Nutritional Ecology of Ruminants, second ed. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, 476.
- Venturini R, Carvalho S, Nalério SE, Giongo C, Argenta FM, Pellegrin, ACSD, Moro AB, Martins AA, Simões, RR, Lopes JF. (2020). Instrumental and sensory evaluation of meat from lambs and hoggets fed high-concentrate maize or sorghum diets, Seminar: Agricultural Sciences, Londrina 41(5): 1679-1690.
- Vieira EL, Batista AMV, Guim A, Carvalho FFR., Nascimento AC, Araújo RFS, Mustafa AF. (2008). Effects of hay inclusion on intake, in vivo nutrient utilization and ruminal fermentation of goats fed spineless cactus (*Opuntia ficus-indica* Mill) based diets. Animal Feed Science and Technology 141(3-4): 199-208.
- Zeola NMBL, Souza PA, Souza HBA, Silva Sobrinho AG, Barbosa JC. (2007). Color, water holding capacity and tenderness of lamb meat aged and injected with calcium chloride. Brazilian Archive of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science 59(4): 1058-1066.

Citation

Ali AS, Atta M, Mohamed MB, Fatnassi M, Al-Dosari HM, Al-Shamari HS. (2023). Effect of different levels of crushed cactus in total mixed ration on fattening performance, carcass characteristics, and meat quality of Awassi sheep. Letters in Animal Biology 03(1): 06 – 12.